

## Abercynon Feeder Pipe Bridge

### Bat Surveys

### Report for Rhondda Cynon Taff Borough Council

|                   |                           |                    |             |             |
|-------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|
| <b>Job Number</b> | T7028                     |                    |             |             |
| <b>Author</b>     | Jess Clitheroe BSc (Hons) |                    |             |             |
| <b>Version</b>    | <b>Checked by</b>         | <b>Approved by</b> | <b>Date</b> | <b>Type</b> |
| V1.0              | Jess Eyre                 | David Coote        | 22/08/2023  | Issue       |
| V2.0              |                           | David Coote        | 09/01/2024  | Issue       |

# Contents

|                               |    |
|-------------------------------|----|
| Summary of Key Findings       | 3  |
| 1 Introduction                | 1  |
| 2 Methodology                 | 6  |
| 3 Results                     | 12 |
| 4 Evaluation and Impacts      | 27 |
| 5 Summary and Recommendations | 30 |
| References                    | 34 |
| Appendix 1: Survey Map        | 36 |
| Appendix 2: Photographs       | 43 |
| Appendix 3: Legislation       | 50 |

## LIABILITY

Temple Group Limited (TGL) has prepared this report for the sole use of the commissioning party in accordance with the agreement under which our services were performed. No warranty, express or implied, is made as to the advice in this report or any other service provided by us. This report may not be relied upon by any other party without the prior written permission of Temple Group Limited. The content of this report is, at least in part, based upon information provided by others and on the assumption that all relevant information has been provided by those parties from whom it has been requested. Information obtained from any third party has not been independently verified by Temple, unless otherwise stated in the report.

## COPYRIGHT

© This report is the copyright of Temple Group Limited. Any unauthorised reproduction or usage by any person is prohibited.

# Summary of Key Findings

Temple Group Ltd. was commissioned by Rhondda Cynon Taff Borough Council in February 2022 to undertake a Preliminary Ecological Appraisal (including preliminary roost assessment for bats) to determine the status of bats on site and any likely constraints to the proposed development of the feeder piper bridge at Abercynon (Temple 2023a). Following this report, a set of two bat emergence surveys were recommended on site which were conducted between May and July 2023. The main findings are as follows:

- A Dusk emergence survey on 23<sup>rd</sup> May 2023 returned no evidence of roosting bats within the bridge or abutment. Low levels of common and soprano pipistrelle and noctule foraging and commuting activity were recorded across the Site.
- A Dusk emergence survey on 20<sup>th</sup> July 2023 returned no evidence of roosting bats within the bridge or abutment. High levels of common and soprano pipistrelle and low levels of myotis and noctule foraging and commuting activity were recorded across the Site.
- Due to the gap in time between the survey and start of works a pre-commencement check by a suitably experienced, qualified and licenced ecologist using an endoscope will be undertaken on any features to be impacted by the works. Works to the bridge will also be timed to avoid the hibernation period. Additionally, a toolbox talk will be given to all workers and a precautionary check should be conducted by a competent surveyor prior to any works. If any bats are found unexpectedly on site, all works must stop and a suitably qualified ecologist contacted. If works do not start until later in 2024 update surveys may be required due to the limited viability of survey data.
- Enhancement measures to increase the value of the Site for bats and other wildlife in accordance with national and local planning policies are also provided and include an increase in bat boxes around the site on existing trees.

# 1 Introduction

## BACKGROUND TO COMMISSION

- 1.1 Temple Group Ltd. was commissioned in March 2023 by Rhondda Cynon Taff Borough Council to undertake bat emergence surveys to determine the presence/likely absence of roosting bats at the old feeder pipe bridge, Abercynon, in order to inform a planning application for the development of the old feeder pipe bridge into a public footpath bridge. These surveys were commissioned following on from the recommendations of the Preliminary Ecological Appraisal (PEA) and Preliminary Roost Assessment (PRA) report (Temple 1a, 2023).
- 1.2 The survey covers the bridge and abutments within the site boundary (henceforth referred to as 'the Site') as indicated on the plan provided by the client (Site GA Plan, drawing number 30003237-BHK-00-XX-DR-C-1801, Dated 2<sup>nd</sup> June 2023; Appendix 1, Figure 1).

## SCOPE OF REPORT

- 1.3 This report provides an assessment of the likelihood that the Site supports roosting bats. It also provides an assessment of the value of the Site for foraging and/or commuting. Where possible, it also outlines any avoidance, mitigation, compensation and enhancement measures as may be required to ensure compliance with legislation and policy.
- 1.4 The assessment is based on the following sources of information, including that obtained from third parties and the results of surveys:
  - PEA/PRA report (Temple, 2023a), and
  - Emergence survey data.
- 1.5 This assessment has been prepared with reference to best practice guidance published by the Bat Conservation Trust (Collins, 2016) and as detailed in British Standard 42020:2013 *Biodiversity – Code of Practice for Biodiversity and Development* (BSI, 2013).

- 1.6 This report provides supporting information in the appendices with a georeferenced map of the survey results in Appendix 1 and cross-referenced photographs in Appendix 2.

### **SITE CONTEXT AND STATUS**

- 1.7 The proposed development site is located on the outskirts of the town of Abercynon, Rhondda Cynon Taff. The site is centred on Ordnance Survey National Grid Reference ST 09209 95484. Part of the site is subject to a non-statutory conservation designation. The site is bordered for the most part by agricultural land as well as commercial and domestic dwellings.

### **DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS**

- 1.8 The development proposals involve the conversion of the old disused feeder pipe bridge to a public footpath bridge across the river. A path linking the footbridge to the wider landscape will be created connecting to the existing footpaths on either side of the river. At the time of writing additional plans are in place for a temporary access track linking the existing footpath to the site compound. Further surveys are planned to establish any potential impacts on bats that this may incur, so an addendum to this report will be required at a later stage.

### **RELEVANT LEGISLATION AND PLANNING POLICY**

- 1.9 The following key pieces of nature conservation legislation are relevant to this assessment. A more detailed description of this legislation is provided in Appendix 3.
- The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended);
  - The Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended); and
  - Environment (Wales) Act 2016;
- 1.10 The Planning Policy Wales (PPW) (Cabinet Secretary for Energy, Planning and Rural Affairs, 11th Ed. 2021) works with the objective to ensure the planning system

contributes towards the delivery of sustainable development and improves the social, economic, environmental and cultural well-being of Wales.

- 1.11 River and lowland, mixed deciduous woodland on the Site are listed under Section 7 of the Environment (Wales) Act 2016. Section 7 lists habitats in Wales which are considered to be of key significance to sustain and improve biodiversity in Wales. It states that all reasonable steps must be taken to maintain and enhance the types of habitat included on this list.

## 2 Methodology

### EMERGENCE SURVEYS

- 2.1 The bridge and abutments were determined to have ‘moderate’ suitability to support roosting bats and consequently, in line with best practice guidance (Collins, 2016) two dusk emergence surveys were carried out. Surveys were undertaken on 23rd May and 20th July. Two surveyors were employed to allow clear views of all potential roost entry/exit points identified at either end of the bridge/abutments during the preliminary roost assessments. The surveys were led by Gareth Harris, an Ecologist with over 7 years’ experience and a level 2 bat class licence from Natural Resources Wales (Licence number – S092795/1). The dusk surveys commenced 15 minutes before sunset and continued for up to 120 minutes after sunset. Each of the surveyors noted down details of any bat activity including bat passes<sup>1</sup>, species, numbers, location, emergence, or re-entry, foraging and commuting, recording details to a data sheet and a map. The surveyors employed a combination of heterodyne bat detectors for aural ID in the field, and/or, full spectrum or zero crossing detectors for sound analysis post survey.

### Post-Survey Analysis

- 2.2 The audio recordings may be analysed post survey using one or more of the following software: Analook™ V3.3q., Bat Explorer™ or Kaleidoscope™, to confirm species identification and the timing of any passes. Any passes likely to have originated from one of the myotis species were determined to genus level only due to the complexity of differentiating between these species.

### Roost Characterisation

- 2.3 The results from the preliminary roost assessments and the emergence/-re-entry surveys are used to characterise any roosts that may be confirmed within the site.

---

<sup>1</sup> For the purposes of this assessment a bat pass is taken to be a series of individual registrations by an individual bat that are emitted in a short sequence and either heard or recorded as a bat passes the position of the surveyor or the detection envelope of the recorder that is employed.

This follows standard criteria for roosts, classifying roost type<sup>2</sup>. Also included are variables such as: species; abundance; likely use; and importance throughout the year.

## EVALUATION AND IMPACT ASSESSMENT

- 2.4 Where sufficient baseline data are available, the site's ecological importance has been evaluated broadly following guidance issued by the Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management (CIEEM, 2018) which ranks the nature conservation importance of a site according to a geographic scale of reference: international, national, regional (South Wales), metropolitan, county (Rhondda Cynon Taff), vice-county or other local authority-wide area (Abercynon); and of importance within the context of the site only. In evaluating the nature conservation importance of the site, the following factors were considered: nature conservation designations; species/habitat rarity; naturalness; fragility and connectivity to other habitats. Importance is assigned only where clear evidence is available to substantiate and justify the findings. In the absence of such evidence, the ecological feature is merely identified as a potential constraint to development. Reference is also made to Section 6<sup>3</sup> of the Bat Mitigation Guidelines (Mitchell-Jones, 2004) and includes a summary of the scale of impact according to roost type and development effect, if known.
- 2.5 Where ecological constraints to development are identified, further survey requirements and/or mitigation measures that are proportionate to the predicted degree of risk to biodiversity and to the nature and scale of the proposed development are described. In addition, in accordance with the Environment (Wales) Act 2016, Planning Policy Wales and local/regional planning policies, opportunities to enhance or create benefits for wildlife are provided where this is possible based on the information available to date.

---

<sup>2</sup> Day, Night, Feeding Perch, Transitional, Satellite, Maternity, Hibernation, Foraging Area, Commuting Route, Swarming Site.

<sup>4</sup> Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora

## DATA VALIDITY AND LIMITATIONS

- 2.6 It is important to note that even where data are held, a lack of records for a defined geographical area does not necessarily mean that there is a lack of ecological interest; the area may be simply under-recorded.
- 2.7 Night vision aid's (NVA's) were not used as part of the emergence surveys. At the time of surveying the use of NVA's was not a requirement although their use is now recommended as part of the new bat survey guidelines. Due to the short timeframe for surveys and the fact that these were not a requirement at the time of survey, it was not deemed that they would not be necessary. Any future bat surveys undertaken on the project if required would need to use NVA's as part of the survey.
- 2.8 Bats are highly mobile animals and can move roost sites both within and between years. Where surveys are not spread throughout the bat active season is possible that roost sites that are used for a limited time only could be missed, and the detection of small numbers of crevice dwelling species from an inspection alone may remain problematic, particularly where droppings accumulate within an inaccessible void such as a cavity wall or above the roof lining. Where visible and undisturbed, however, evidence of bats inside a structure is likely to be detectable throughout the year.
- 2.9 Data from bat surveys should be considered to be valid for a period of 12-18 months, unless there are any significant changes to the structures or other habitats within the site (CIEEM, 2019). Data used to support a mitigation licence application to Natural Resources Wales must be from the most recent survey season; depending on the timing of the application, this may mean from the same or previous year.

## 3 Results

### FIELD SURVEY

#### Overview

- 3.1 The bridge itself and abutments contained moderate potential roosting opportunities, though no confirmed roosts were discovered.

### EMERGENCE AND RE-ENTRY SURVEYS

- 3.2 A map showing the surveyor location map for each survey is in Appendix 1 Figure 2.

#### Bridge Survey Results

##### *Dusk Emergence – 23<sup>rd</sup> May*

- 3.3 The temperature at the start of the survey was 17°C, with scattered cloud cover, 1 wind (Beaufort) and remained dry throughout the survey.
- 3.4 No bats were recorded emerging from the bridge or abutments throughout the survey. During the survey common pipistrelle, soprano pipistrelle and Noctule foraging and commuting calls were recorded across the site.

##### *Dusk Emergence – 20<sup>th</sup> July*

- 3.5 The temperature at the start of the survey was 14°C, with scattered cloud cover, 1 wind (Beaufort) and remained dry throughout the survey.
- 3.6 No bats were recorded emerging from the bridge or abutments throughout the survey. During the survey common pipistrelle, soprano pipistrelle myotis sp. and Noctule foraging and commuting calls were recorded across the site.

#### Sound Analysis

- 3.7 The sound analysis confirmed bat identification from all field survey results and identified unknown bat species recorded. See Appendix 5 for post survey proforma amendments.

## Other Fauna

- 3.8 An otter was identified swimming across the river underneath the bridge during the second survey.

## 4 Evaluation and Impacts

### ROOSTING BATS

- 4.1 No bats were identified emerging during the surveys. No roost was confirmed during these surveys, therefore likely absence can be assumed.
- 4.2 A number of bats were seen foraging in the vicinity of the bridge during the surveys, in particular during the second survey. As the area of works is in proximity to suitable roosting trees, although these are not due to be disturbed during the works, it would be assumed that there are a number of potential roosts within the vicinity of the works and that therefore precautions to prevent disturbance should be taken.

## 5 Summary and Recommendations

### SUMMARY

- 0.1 Following emergence and re-entry surveys no bat roosts have been confirmed.
- 0.2 The proposals are likely to impact foraging/commuting bats using the site, however no artificial lighting will be allowed either during the construction or operational phase of development.

### MITIGATION & COMPENSATION

#### Foraging and commuting habitat

- 0.3 The habitats on site are likely to provide suitable foraging and commuting habitat for bats in the local area and should be retained wherever possible, but particularly where these provide connectivity with suitable off-site habitat within the wider landscape. Any unavoidable loss should be compensated for through appropriate wildlife planting with input from a suitably experienced ecologist to ensure that habitat connectivity is maintained, and that an appropriate mix of species is used. In line with current national and local planning policy, measures should also aim to enhance the site's value for biodiversity as a result of the development. Outline recommendations are provided below in 5.15.

### ENHANCEMENTS

- 5.5 Local Authorities have a duty under the Environment (Wales) Act 2016 and Planning Policy Wales 11<sup>th</sup> Edition (Welsh Government, 2021) to maintain and enhance biodiversity in the exercise of their functions.
- 5.6 The following measures would be suitable for integration into the site's design.
- 5.1 Bat boxes on trees can be clustered with several on a single tree facing in different directions to provide a range of thermal conditions. These should be positioned discreetly between c.3 and 5m from the ground away from casual interference. No artificial bat roost features should be sited such that they are directly illuminated by

artificial lighting, particularly during peak periods of activity such as around dusk and pre-dawn. The boxes used on trees should be of suitable design and made of Woodcrete (i.e. Schwegler 1FF or similar).

# References

British Standards Institution (BSI) (2013). *Biodiversity. Code of practice for planning and development: 42020*. BSI, London.

CIEEM (2018). *Guidelines for Ecological Impact Assessment in the UK and Ireland: Terrestrial, Freshwater and Coastal. Version 1.1*. Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management, Winchester

CIEEM (2019) *Advice Note on the Lifespan of Ecological Reports and Surveys*. April 2019.

Collins. (2016) *Bat Surveys - Good Practice Guidelines* 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. Bat Conservation Trust, London.

Fure, A. (2006). *Bats and lighting*. The London Naturalist

Gunnell, K., Grant, G. and Williams, C. 2012. Landscape and urban design for bats and biodiversity. Bat Conservation Trust

Institution of Lighting Professionals (2018) *Bats and Artificial Lighting in the UK*. Guidance Note 08/18. Institution of Lighting Professionals and Bat Conservation Trust  
<https://www.theilp.org.uk/documents/guidance-note-8-bats-and-artificial-lighting>

Jones, J. (2000) *Impact of lighting on bats*. Bat Conservation Trust, London.

Mitchell-Jones, A.J. (2004) Bat Mitigation Guidelines. English Nature, Peterborough.

Mitchell-Jones, A.J. & McLeish, A.P. (2004) *The Bat Workers' Manual* 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough.

Newton, J. Nicholson, B. Saunders, R. Willets, R. & Venables, R. (2011) *Working with wildlife: guidance for the construction industry* (2nd Ed.). London: CIRIA


Stone, E.L. (2013) *Bats and lighting: Overview of current evidence and mitigation*. University of Bristol.

Temple (2023). *Abercynon Feeder Pipe Bridge, Preliminary Ecological Appraisal Report for Rhondda Cynon Taff Borough Council*

Welsh Government (2021). Planning Policy Wales. Edition 11. [Online].  
[Accessed 02.08.2023]. Available at:  
[https://www.gov.wales/sites/default/files/publications/2021-02/planning-policy-wales-edition-11\\_0.pdf](https://www.gov.wales/sites/default/files/publications/2021-02/planning-policy-wales-edition-11_0.pdf)

## Appendix 1: Survey Maps

Figure 1: Site location map



**Figure 2:** Surveyor location Map





Figure 3: General bat activity recorded across both surveys



## Appendix 2: Photographs

**Photograph 1**  
Bridge showing abutment at  
North-west end.



**Photograph 2**  
Bridge showing abutment at  
south-east end.



## Appendix 3: Legislation

**Important Notice:** This section contains details of legislation applicable in England and Wales only (i.e. not including Scotland, the Isle of Man, Northern Ireland, the Republic of Ireland or the Channel Islands) and is provided for general guidance only. While every effort has been made to represent the current (at the time of writing) situation with respect to the UK's position outside of the EU and to ensure accuracy throughout, this section should not be relied upon as a definitive statement of the law.

## **A LEGISLATION AFFORDED TO SPECIES**

The objective of the EC Habitats Directive<sup>4</sup> is to conserve the various species of plant and animal which are considered rare across Europe. The Directive is transposed into UK law by **The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended)** and **The 'Conservation of Offshore Marine Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended)**.

Various amendments to the 2017 Regulations in England and Wales have been made through the Conservation of Habitats and Species (Amendment) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019. These changes came into effect on the 1 January 2021 following the UK's departure from the EU and the end of the Transition Period. The changes are largely limited to 'operability changes' that will ensure the Regulations can continue to have the same working effect as before.

**The Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended)** is a key piece of national legislation which implements the Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (Bern Convention) and implements the species protection obligations of Council Directive 2009/147/EC (formerly 79/409/EEC) on the Conservation of Wild Birds (EC Birds Directive) in Great Britain.

---

<sup>4</sup> Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora

Since the passing of the Wildlife & Countryside Act 1981, various amendments have been made, details of which can be found on [www.opsi.gov.uk](http://www.opsi.gov.uk). Key amendments have been made through the Countryside and Rights of Way (CROW) Act (2000).

Other legislative Acts affording protection to wildlife and their habitats include:

- Salmon and Freshwater Fisheries Act 1975;
- Deer Act 1991;
- Protection of Badgers Act 1992;
- Wild Mammals (Protection) Act 1996;
- Countryside and Rights of Way (CROW) Act 2000;
- The Eels (England and Wales) Regulations 2009; and
- Environment (Wales) Act 2016.

Species and species groups that are protected or otherwise regulated under the aforementioned legislation, and that are most likely to be affected by development activities, include herpetofauna (amphibians and reptiles), badger, bats, birds, dormouse, invasive species, otter, plants, red squirrel, water vole and white clawed crayfish.

**Explanatory notes** relating to species protected under The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended), which includes smooth snake, sand lizard, great crested newt, natterjack toad, all bat species, otter, dormouse and some plant, invertebrate and fish species, are given below. **These should be read in conjunction with the relevant species sections that follow.**

- In the Habitats Directive, the term ‘deliberate’ is interpreted as being somewhat wider than intentional and may be thought of as including an element of recklessness.
- The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended) does not define the act of ‘migration’ and therefore, as a precaution, it is recommended that short distance movement of animals for e.g. foraging, breeding or dispersal purposes are also considered where relevant.
- In order to obtain a mitigation licence for species protected under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended), the application must

demonstrate that it meets all of the following three 'tests': i) the action(s) are necessary for the purpose of preserving public health or safety or other imperative reasons of overriding public interest including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequence of primary importance for the environment; ii) that there is no satisfactory alternative and iii) that the action authorised will not be detrimental to the maintenance of the species concerned at a favourable conservation status in their natural range.

## BATS

All species of bat are fully protected under The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended) through their inclusion on Schedule 2. Regulation 41 prohibits:

- Deliberate killing, injuring or capturing of Schedule 2 species (e.g. all bats);
- Deliberate disturbance of bat species as:
  - a) to impair their ability:
    - to survive, breed, or reproduce, or to rear or nurture young; or
    - to hibernate or migrate.
  - b) to affect significantly the local distribution or abundance of the species.
- Damage or destruction of a breeding site or resting place; and
- Keeping, transporting, selling, exchanging or offering for sale whether live or dead or of any part thereof.

Bats are also protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) in respect to sub-sections 9 (4) (b) and (c) and 9 (5) through their inclusion on Schedule 5. Under this Act, they are additionally protected from:

- Intentional or reckless disturbance while in their place of shelter (at any level)
- Intentional or reckless obstruction of access to any place of shelter or protection
- Selling, offering or exposing for sale, possession or transporting for purpose of sale.

How is the legislation pertaining to bats liable to affect development works?

The appropriate licence issued by the relevant countryside agency (e.g. Natural Resources Wales) will be required for works liable to affect a bat roost or for operations likely to result in a level of disturbance which might impair their ability to undertake those activities mentioned above (e.g. survive, breed, rear young and hibernate). The licence is to derogate from the relevant legislation but also to enable appropriate mitigation measures to be put in place and their efficacy to be monitored.

Though there is no case law to date, the legislation may also be interpreted such that, in certain circumstances, important foraging areas and/or commuting routes can be regarded as being afforded protection, for example, where it can be proven that the continued usage of such areas is crucial to maintaining the integrity and long-term viability of a bat roost<sup>5</sup>.

---

<sup>5</sup> Garland and Markham (2008) Is important bat foraging and commuting habitat legally protected? Mammal News, No. **150**. The Mammal Society, Southampton.

- **London: 3rd floor, The Clove Building, 4 Maguire Street, London,SE1 2NQ. T: +44 (0)20 7394 3700**
- **Haywards Heath: Unit 6 Basepoint; John De Mierre House, 20 Bridge Road, Haywards Heath, RH16 1UA. T: +44 (0)20 7394 3700**
- **Lewes: 3 Upper Stalls, Iford, Lewes, East Sussex, BN7 3EJ. T: +44 (0) 1273 813739**
- **Lichfield: 1-2 Trent Park, Eastern Avenue, Lichfield, Staffordshire, WS13 6RN. T: +44 (0)1543 229049**
- **Manchester: Express Building, 3 George Leigh Street, Manchester, M4 5AD. T: +44 (0)161 509 4900**
- **Norwich: 60 Thorpe Road, Norwich, Norfolk, NR1 1RY. T: +44 (0)1603 628408**
- **Wakefield: The Paine Suite, Nostell Business Park, Doncaster Road, Wakefield, WF4 1AB. T: +44 (0)1924 921900**