

The ecological value of the survey area has been assessed based on the Guidelines for Ecological Impact Assessment (CIEEM, 2018), and the Handbook of Biodiversity Methods: Survey, Evaluation and Monitoring (Hill, 2005), using geographic frames of reference. The biodiversity value of any identified designated sites, habitat types and associated species assemblages has been considered. The distribution and extent of invasive species listed on Schedule 9 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981 as amended 1996) were also noted throughout the survey area. The methodology for the PRA is informed by the Bat Conservation Trust publication, Bat Surveys for Professional Ecologists – Good Practice Guidelines (Collins, J. (Ed) 2016). All features that will be impacted by the project proposals were assessed for their bat roosting and/or commuting habitat. The surveyor systematically surveyed all features suitable for bats and signs of bat activity.

For any surveyed buildings:

A non-intrusive visual appraisal from the ground using binoculars, inspecting the external features of the building(s) for potential access/egress points, and for signs of bat use. An internal inspection of the building was also made, including the living areas of derelict or abandoned buildings and the accessible roof spaces of all buildings, using an endoscope, torch and ladders. The surveyor paid particular attention to the floor and flat surfaces, window shutters and frames, lintels above doors and windows, and carried out a detailed search of numerous features within the roof space.

For any surveyed trees

A visual inspection from ground level using binoculars and where accessible an internal inspection of suitable roosting features using an endoscope, torch and ladders.

The surveyor also made note of any other ecological constraints observed during the survey, notably the likelihood of presence or signs of breeding birds, and the suitability of the site for barn owls *Tyto alba*.

2.3 Suitability Assessment

The likelihood of occurrence of protected species is ranked according to the criteria listed in Table 1. The habitats on site were evaluated as to their likelihood to provide sheltering, roosting, foraging, basking or nesting habitat.

Table 1: showing criteria considered when assessing the likelihood of occurrence of protected species

Present	Species are confirmed as present from the current survey or historical confirmed records.
High	Habitat and features of high quality for species/species assemblage. Species known to be present in wider landscape (desk study records). Good quality surrounding habitat and good connectivity.
Medium	Habitat and features of moderate quality. The site in combination with surrounding land provides all habitat/ecological conditions required by the species/assemblage. Within known national distribution of species and local records in desk study area. Limiting factors to suitability, including small area of suitable habitat, some severance/poor connectivity with wider landscape, poor to moderate habitat suitability in local area.
Low	Habitats within the survey area poor quality. Few or no records from data search.

	Despite above, presence cannot be discounted as within national range, all required features/conditions present on site and in surrounding landscape. Limiting factors could include isolation, poor quality landscape, or disturbance.
Negligible	Very limited poor-quality habitats and features. No local records from desk study; site on edge of, or outside, national range. Surrounding habitats considered unlikely to support species/species assemblage.

For the PRA element of the survey all affected survey features on site were categorised according to the likelihood of bats being present, in line with best practice guidelines (Collins, J. (ed) 2016). The features that dictate the likelihood of roosting bats are summarised in Tables 2 and 3 below. Roost suitability is classified as high, moderate, low and negligible and dictates any further surveys required before works can proceed.

Table 2: Features of a building that are correlated with use by bats

Likelihood of bats being present	Feature of building and its context
Higher	Buildings/structures with features of particular significance for roosting bats e.g. mines, caves, tunnels, icehouses and cellars. Habitat on site and surrounding landscape of high quality for foraging bats e.g. broadleaved woodland, tree-lined watercourses and grazed parkland. Site is connected with the wider landscape by strong linear features that would be used by commuting bats e.g. river and or stream valleys and hedgerows. Site is proximate to known or likely roosts (based on historical data).
Lower	A small number of possible roost sites/features, used sporadically by more widespread species. Habitat suitable for foraging in close proximity, but isolated in the landscape. Or an isolated site not connected by prominent linear features. Few features suitable for roosting, minor foraging or commuting.

Table 3: Features of a tree that are correlated with use by bats

Likelihood of bats being present	Feature of tree and its context
Higher	A tree with one or more potential roost sites that are obviously suitable for use by larger numbers of bats on a more regular basis and potentially for longer periods of time due to their size, shelter, protection, conditions and surrounding habitat.
Lower	A tree of sufficient size and age to contain potential roosting features but with none seen from the ground or features seen with only very limited roosting potential.

2.4 Limitations – evaluation of the methodology

It should be noted that whilst every effort has been made to describe the baseline conditions within the survey area, and evaluate these features, this report does not provide a complete characterisation of the site. This assessment provides a preliminary view of the likelihood of protected species being present. This is based on suitability of the habitats on the site and in the wider landscape, the ecology and biology of species as currently understood, and the known distribution of species as recovered during the searches of historical biological records.

The survey was taken outside the optimum window for Phase 1 Habitat Survey of mid-March to mid-October. As such botanical species may have been underestimated due to lack of visibility.

3.0 Results and Evaluation

3.1 Desk Study Results

A summary of desk study results is provided below; full details are included in Appendix 3.

3.2 Designated sites

Details of any statutory and non-statutory designated sites within a 2km radius of the survey site, including their reasons for notification, are provided in Table 4 below.

Table 4: Nationally or Internationally Designated sites within 2km radius of the site

	OK
--	----

None

Locally Designated Sites:

The site contains part of SINC 45 – Ynysowen/Merthyr Vale SINC: A complex mosaic of ffridd habitats above the Afon Taf. These comprise mainly seminatural woodlands, acid grasslands, dry heathlands and bracken slopes. Other habitats include dense and scattered scrub, marshy grassland and neutral grassland.

There is also a large disused quarry with associated spoil tips which have revegetated with heathland. In the north of the site is a large regraded colliery spoil tip supporting acid grassland with several species of interest.

3.3 Landscape

A review of the designated sites, aerial photographs (Figure 1), the Magic database and OS maps has been undertaken. Collated together, the site's local habitat is described below:

National Landscape Character Area 37 [South Wales Valleys]: "Many deep, urbanised valleys dissect an extensive upland area. Combined with industrial heritage and the distinct identity of its people, the South Wales Valleys provide some of Wales' most widely known and iconic national images.

Extensive ribbon development fills many valley bottoms and lower slopes. Their urban and industrial character is juxtaposed with dramatic upland settings with steep hillsides, open moors or forests. Networks of railways and roads connect valley settlements. Topography constrains passage between valleys, and there are only a limited number of high passes between valleys. The noise and business of many valleys contrast with the relatively remote and wild qualities of adjacent hill plateaux.

Underlying geology and mineral deposits provided the resources that fuelled a rapid spread of industrial development in the C19th. Once rail transport became possible, new coal, steel and iron industries created an extensive infrastructure of large buildings, furnaces, towers, chimneys, viaducts, spoil heaps and levels. Housing for workers resulted in the extensive and iconic rows of terraced houses that run along hillsides. Their needs in turn brought chapels, shops, schools and other facilities to create new settlements with an urban character. The way of life and harsh environment resulted in the image of a tough, rugby playing and radically minded society. But the decline of industries in the late C20th resulted in the closure, removal, abandonment or redevelopment of many former industrial sites. These changes continue today, as do the consequential social changes to the way of life and community identity. The area is now seen as part of a wider, increasingly post-industrial, 'city region', the largest in Wales. A new iconic image is at times unclear, but heritage-based activities set within a softer, greener environment are emerging as part of this.

While greenness is returning to some former industrial landscapes many of the new woodlands are coniferous. Waterways are slowly welcoming back fish, and mammals such as otters. The importance of wildlife conservation being undertaken hand-in-hand with economic regeneration is being recognised as one of the keys to the sustained revitalisation of this most iconic Welsh 'bro', in the Heads of the Valleys and Valleys Regional Park initiatives.”.



Figure 1: Aerial photo of site, showing landscape structure

3.4 Historical records

A biological records search has not yet been commissioned.

3.5 Field Survey Results

The site consists of 1 minor stable block and surrounding upland pasture. The weather conditions recorded at the time of the survey are shown in Table 7.

Table 7: Weather conditions during the survey

Date: 05/03/2021	
Temperature	7°C
Humidity	55%
Cloud Cover	50%
Wind	1 (SW)
Rain	None

Internal conditions were not measured in buildings because they are effectively close to ambient.

3.6 Site Feature descriptions and photos

Site overview B1.2 Semi-improved Acid Grassland This view looks towards the corner of the site where the barn and #3 greenhouses will be located. J.3.6 Buildings The minor stable block is a compartmentalised single-skin building formed of metal and board cladding. It has a flat single-skin roof. No evidence of avian or bat usage internally or externally J.3.2 Native species-poor hedge with trees on the western boundary.	
--	---


Bracken fringe**J.3.6 Buildings**

The gable of the stable block/horse shed.

To the left is an advertising hording.

**Internal**

Sheet cladding on a simple timber frame. Habitat value for bats is negligible and no evidence of usage was found.



B1.2 Semi-improved Acid Grassland

Impressive anthills on grazed grassland.

**D5 Dry Heath/Acid Grassland Mosaic****C1.1 Bracken Continuous**

This photograph well illustrates the mosaic interaction of the bracken, acid grassland and dry heath.



D5 Dry Heath/Acid Grassland Mosaic**C1.1 Bracken Continuous**

With the cessation of horse grazing the bracken will increasingly over-dominated the upland mosaic.

**B1.2 Semi-improved Acid Grassland**

Impressive anthills on grazed grassland.

