

Bike Park Wales
Abercanaid, Merthyr Tydfil.

LANDSCAPE STATEMENT ADDENDUM

24th May 2022



Peter Quinn Associates
Landscape Architecture

ADDENDUM TO LANDSCAPE STATEMENT: BIKE PARK WALES, ABERCANAID

CONTENTS

Section	Page
1.0 Introduction	3
2.0 The proposals	5
3.0 Planning policy relating to the site	6
4.0 Analysis of effects	7
5.0 Cumulative effects	14
6.0 Conclusion	21
7.0 Mitigation	22
8.0 Likely residual effects following mitigation	24
Appendices	27
A1 Methodology, Site Context, Existing Site & Landscape constraints Plans	27

ADDENDUM TO LANDSCAPE STATEMENT: BIKE PARK WALES, ABERCANAID

1.0 Introduction

1.1 General

In November 2018 Peter Quinn Associates Landscape Architects were instructed by Bike Park Wales to carry out a study on the proposed development at Bike Park Wales, Abercanaid, Merthyr Tydfil, CF48 1YZ in order to produce a Landscape Statement (LS). This formed part of an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) of the site. The EIA also included an Ecological Assessment in relation to the proposed development.

The LS and EIA were revised on the 12/3/2019 and formed part of a planning application (P/19/0073) submitted to Merthyr Tydfil County Borough Council. All mentions of the *original LS* in the following text refer to that document of 12/3/2017. The proposals were granted full approval on the 9/1/2020.

A further application is to be submitted in Spring 2022 which proposes further works and certain changes to elements already given approval. These as follows:

- Camping and other pods
- Storage containers
- Showers/toilets
- Workshop
- Bike wash facility
- Play area

These elements are shown on the updated BPW Landscape Masterplan (revision i of 8/4/2022) along with the associated amendments to paths and landscape. Further details of the proposed changes are shown on drawings by Bingham Hall Partnership Ltd (Consulting Civil and Structural Engineers), George and Co. Ltd (Architecture and Design) and others, planned to be submitted as part of the Spring 2022 planning application.

1.2 The purpose and structure of the Addendum

1.2.1 General

The purpose of this Addendum is to ascertain whether the likely significant effects on landscape and views in and around the site of the proposed development described in the previously submitted Landscape Statement (the original LS), are likely to differ, when the latest changes and proposals are considered.

The initial baseline study of the site and its context was used as the basis for this study and thus, for brevity, is not repeated in this document.

Where it is judged that the effect on each individual user group or element of the landscape caused by the latest proposed developments and changes listed above, is likely to be different from that already presented in the original LS and EIA, then this is described in a table specific to each group or element. A more nuanced narrative description of any such differences follows each table. Where there is judged to be no perceptible difference then this is described as *no change*.

This Addendum does not analyse the developments that have been completed since the approval of 9/1/2020 or how they relate to the predicted effects in the original LS and EIA. In considering the original works alongside the above listed changes and further works, this addendum considers a “worst case scenario” in order to ensure that proposed landscape works and suggested mitigation are appropriate and sufficient.

ADDENDUM TO LANDSCAPE STATEMENT: BIKE PARK WALES, ABERCANAID

1.2.2 Cumulative effects and recent development of Gethin Woodland Park

The cumulative effects that may result from the combination of the *further works and certain changes* listed above, with the previously completed developments granted permission since 2012 (including application Nos. P/12/0235, P/13/0214, P/16/0055 and P/19/0073) are considered separately in section 5.0 Cumulative Effects, below.

1.2.3 Design development

Section 7.0 of this addendum identifies any changes to the mitigatory measures outlined in the original LS that are deemed necessary.

Adverse effects which cannot be mitigated are listed in section 8.0 and any changes from the original LS are described in a similar manner.

1.3 Other aspects of the study

All other aspects of this study not specifically described in this addendum as being different, are as per the original LS.

2.0 The Proposals

2.1 General

The following description is based primarily on Peter Quinn Associates' updated BPW Landscape Masterplan (revision i of 8/4/2022). All references to the *latest masterplan* refer to this drawing. The reader should also refer to other drawings and information produced by Peter Quinn Associates and others.

Any references to previously approved elements, operations and procedures refer to such elements within the planning approval of 9/1/2020.

2.2 General description of the proposals

It is proposed in the latest application that the following elements are constructed/amended:

- Camping and other pods
- Storage containers
- Showers and toilets
- Workshop
- Bike wash facility
- Play area

The proposals are described briefly below:

2.2.1 Changes to camping and other pods

A series of timber camping and other pods are proposed within the area of deciduous woodland to the east of the visitor centre. The number of pods has been reduced when compared with the previously approved application. The location, orientation and grouping of the pods has also been amended to more closely respond to the distribution of trees, shrubs and other features within the woodland. Around six of the pods will be connected to the previously approved drainage system.

Associated paths have been amended in line with these changes and the quantity of planting increased to improve the density and quality of screening of pods from views within the site.

Other aspects of the camping and other pods, relevant to landscape, are similar to the previously approved proposals.

2.2.2 Storage containers and showers

Four shipping containers, each around 4.0m x 2.4m, are proposed to the east of the pump track on the location of the previously approved plant structure and informal picnic area. It is proposed that the picnic area will now be incorporated within the play area as described below (see 2.2.5).

The containers will be clad in timber and will open onto the adjacent track.

Immediately to the south of the containers, a changing village comprising 6 WCs, 4 showers and associated sinks is proposed. The changing village will also be clad in timber and will feature a screen of native climbers along its southwestern facade. It will be connected to the previously approved drainage system serving pods in the woodland area. This changing village replaces the approved toilet and shower block previously located to its southeast.

ADDENDUM TO LANDSCAPE STATEMENT: BIKE PARK WALES, ABERCANAID

2.2.3 Workshop

It is proposed that a workshop and associated access drive, parking and turning area is constructed on the northern part of the area previously approved for use as a play area. It is proposed that the play area is reduced in size (see 2.2.5).

A belt of trees and shrubs is proposed between the workshop access drive and the play area.

2.2.4 Changes to the bike-wash facility (completed)

The bike-wash facility, previously approved for construction adjoining the northern end of the utility area/ cafe building, has now been relocated to a position alongside the track to its northeast. The structure is clad in timber and a screen of native trees and shrubs is proposed.

2.2.5 Changes to the play area

It is proposed that the previously approved play area is reduced in size by around 40% to allow for construction of the workshop (see 2.2.3 above), shipping containers and changing village (see 2.2.2 above).

The informal picnic area, previously approved for installation between the play area and the pump track will be incorporated within the play area.

Other aspects of the play area will be similar to the previously approved proposals.

2.2.6 Detailed proposals

Further details of the proposals can be found in plans and other submissions by others.

2.3 Implementation

Implementation will follow previously approved operations and procedures.

3.0 Planning policy relating to the site

The following contain relevant policies that have changed since the original LS.

Planning Policy Wales Edition 11 February 2021
The Merthyr Tydfil Replacement Local Development Plan 2016-2031

Though the numbers and wording of policies have changed, the objectives of the policies relevant to the proposed works and to this site remain very similar and it is considered that the proposals in most respects conform with the relevant policies. A detailed analysis is thus not included in this addendum.

4.0 Analysis of effects

4.1 Likely significant effects

4.1.1 Overview of methodology

The process used in the original LS to decide whether an effect was significant or not was followed in this document.

The findings of the original LS were considered and re-assessed considering the latest proposals. The findings are laid out below:

4.2.3 Landscape Receptor: landform and geology

Value: High (LANDMAP)
Susceptibility: Medium
Sensitivity: Medium
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: Moderate in size, long-term, permanent
Magnitude of effect: Medium
Likely overall effect on landscape character: Significant

Findings unchanged from original LS.

4.2.4 Landscape Receptor: landscape pattern and history

Value: high (LANDMAP)
Susceptibility: Medium
Sensitivity: Medium
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: major in size, long-term and unlikely to be reversed
Magnitude of effect: medium
Likely overall effect on landscape character: Significant

Findings unchanged from original LS.

ADDENDUM TO LANDSCAPE STATEMENT: BIKE PARK WALES, ABERCANAID

4.2.5 Landscape Receptor: woodland

Value: High
Susceptibility: high
Sensitivity: high
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: moderate in size, long-term and unlikely to be reversed
Magnitude of effect: moderate
Likely overall effect on landscape character: Significant

The reduction in the number of pods and the amendments to their locations, when compared with the description of proposals in the original LS, would be likely to lead to a lower adverse effect on the woodland in which they are proposed. This would however not lead to a change in the likely *overall* effect on landscape character.

Findings are otherwise unchanged from original LS.

4.2.6 Landscape Receptor: scrub/grassland

Value: low
Susceptibility: medium
Sensitivity: low
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: major in size, long-term and unlikely to be reversed
Magnitude of effect: moderate
Likely overall effect on landscape character: Not significant

Findings unchanged from original LS.

4.2.7 Landscape Receptor: Waterways and waterbodies

Value: low
Susceptibility: medium
Sensitivity: medium
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: moderate in size, long-term and reversible in the long-term
Magnitude of effect: medium
Likely overall effect on landscape character: Significant

Findings unchanged from original LS.

ADDENDUM TO LANDSCAPE STATEMENT: BIKE PARK WALES, ABERCANAID

4.2.8 Landscape Receptor: access

Value: high
Susceptibility: moderate
Sensitivity: moderate
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: Moderate in size, short-term duration and reversible
Magnitude of effect: Minor
Likely overall effect on landscape character: Not significant

Findings unchanged from original LS.

4.2.9 Landscape Receptor: culture

Value: moderate
Susceptibility: low
Sensitivity: low
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: minor in size, long-term and unlikely to be reversed
Magnitude of effect: low
Likely overall effect on landscape character: Not significant

Findings unchanged from original LS.

4.2.10 Landscape Receptor: sensory aspects

Value: moderate
Susceptibility: low
Sensitivity: low
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: minor in size, long-term and unlikely to be reversed
Magnitude of effect: low
Likely overall effect on landscape character: Not significant

Findings unchanged from original LS.

ADDENDUM TO LANDSCAPE STATEMENT: BIKE PARK WALES, ABERCANAID

4.2.11 Landscape Receptor: light

Value: medium
Susceptibility: low
Sensitivity: low/moderate
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: minor in size, long-term and unlikely to be reversed
Magnitude of effect: low
Likely overall effect on landscape character: Not significant

The reduction in the number of pods and the amendments to their locations when compared with the description of proposals in the original LS, would be likely to lead to a lower adverse effect on light. This would however not lead to a change in the likely *overall* effect.

Findings are otherwise unchanged from original LS.

ADDENDUM TO LANDSCAPE STATEMENT: BIKE PARK WALES, ABERCANAID

4.3 Visual effects: the effect of the proposals on views.

4.3.1 Assessment of visual effects

The process used in the original LS to assess visual effects was followed in this document.

The findings of the original LS were considered and re-assessed considering the latest proposals. The findings are laid out below:

4.3.2 Visual Receptor: users of PROWs and Access Land in and around BPW

Value of view: medium
Susceptibility of receptor: high
Sensitivity of receptor: high
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: major in size, long-term and unlikely to be reversed
Magnitude of effect: high
Likely overall effect: significant

The addition of the workshop to the play area is considered likely to cause a slight deterioration in views when compared to the previously approved proposals though screen planting would in time be likely to reduce this to almost no overall change in effect.

Similarly, the relocation of the shower block to the northwest and the installation of shipping containers in the same area, would be likely to cause a slight deterioration in views, though in time, timber cladding and screen planting would be likely to reduce this to almost no overall change.

The reduction in the numbers of pods, and the improvement in locations and grouping with regard to existing vegetation and other features, would be likely to lead to a slight improvement in views in and around the woodland when compared to the previously approved proposals.

Overall however, the findings are unchanged from original LS.

ADDENDUM TO LANDSCAPE STATEMENT: BIKE PARK WALES, ABERCANAID

4.3.5 Visual Receptor: users of Merthyr Common

Value of view: high
Susceptibility of receptor: high
Sensitivity of receptor: high
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: minor, long term and unlikely to be reversed
Magnitude of effect: low
Likely overall effect: not significant

The changes would be very unlikely to cause any perceptible difference in effect when viewed from Merthyr Common. Overall, the findings are unchanged from original LS.

4.3.6 Visual Receptor: users of the Trevithick Trail and other PROWs on the eastern valley flanks

Value of view: high
Susceptibility of receptor: high
Sensitivity of receptor: high
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: minor, long term and unlikely to be reversed
Magnitude of effect: low
Likely overall effect: not significant

The changes would be very unlikely to cause any perceptible difference in effect when viewed from the Trevithick Trail and other PROWs on the eastern valley flanks. Overall, the findings are unchanged from original LS.

4.3.7 Visual Receptor: residents of Pentrebach (views from dwellings)

Value of view: high
Susceptibility of receptor: intermediate
Sensitivity of receptor: medium
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: minor, long term and unlikely to be reversed
Magnitude of effect: low
Likely overall effect: not significant

The changes would be very unlikely to cause any perceptible difference in effect when viewed from dwellings in Pentrebach. Overall, the findings are unchanged from original LS.

ADDENDUM TO LANDSCAPE STATEMENT: BIKE PARK WALES, ABERCANAID

4.3.8 Visual Receptor: Users of public areas within Pentrebach and adjacent built-up areas

Value of view: high
Susceptibility of receptor: low
Sensitivity of receptor: low
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: minor, long term and unlikely to be reversed
Magnitude of effect: low
Likely overall effect: not significant

The changes would be very unlikely to cause any perceptible difference in effect when viewed from public areas in Pentrebach and adjacent built-up areas.

Overall, the findings are unchanged from original LS.

4.3.9 Visual Receptor: residents of the farm north of BPW

Value of view: high
Susceptibility of receptor: low
Sensitivity of receptor: medium
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: minor or none, long-term and unlikely to be reversed
Magnitude of effect: low or negligible
Likely overall effect: not significant

The changes would be very unlikely to cause any perceptible difference in effect when viewed by residents of the farm north of BPW.

Overall, the findings are unchanged from original LS.

4.4 Summary

Overall, the findings are unchanged from the original LS i.e. significant effects on views are limited to those within and near to BPW and the area proposed for development.

5.0 CUMULATIVE EFFECTS

5.1 General

The process used in the original LS to assess cumulative effects was followed in this document.

The findings of the original LS were considered and re-assessed considering the latest proposals. The findings are laid out below:

5.4 Cumulative effects on landscape receptors

5.4.1 Landscape Receptor: landform and geology NO CHANGE

Cumulative effects summary

Value: High (LANDMAP)
Susceptibility: Medium
Sensitivity: Medium
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: Moderate in size, long-term, permanent
Magnitude of effect: Medium
Likely overall effect on landscape character: Significant

Overall, the findings are unchanged from the original LS.

5.4.2 Landscape Receptor: landscape pattern and history NO CHANGE

Cumulative effects summary

Value: high (LANDMAP)
Susceptibility: Medium
Sensitivity: Medium
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: major in size, long-term and unlikely to be reversed
Magnitude of effect: medium
Likely overall effect on landscape character: Significant

Overall, the findings are unchanged from the original LS.

ADDENDUM TO LANDSCAPE STATEMENT: BIKE PARK WALES, ABERCANAID

5.4.3 Landscape Receptor: woodland NO CHANGE

Cumulative effects summary

Value: High
Susceptibility: high
Sensitivity: high
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: moderate in size, long-term and unlikely to be reversed
Magnitude of effect: moderate
Likely overall effect on landscape character: Significant

The reduction in the number of pods and the amendments to their locations, when compared with the description of proposals in the original LS, would be likely to lead to a lower adverse effect on the woodland in which they are proposed. This would however not lead to a change in the likely *overall* effect on landscape character.

Findings are otherwise unchanged from the original LS.

5.4.4 Landscape Receptor: scrub/grassland NO CHANGE

Cumulative effects summary

Value: low
Susceptibility: medium
Sensitivity: low
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: major in size, long-term and unlikely to be reversed
Magnitude of effect: moderate
Likely overall effect on landscape character: Not significant

Overall, the findings are unchanged from original LS.

ADDENDUM TO LANDSCAPE STATEMENT: BIKE PARK WALES, ABERCANAID

5.4.5 Landscape Receptor: Waterways and waterbodies NO CHANGE

Cumulative effects summary

Value: low
Susceptibility: medium
Sensitivity: medium
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: moderate in size, long-term and reversible in the long-term
Magnitude of effect: medium
Likely overall effect on landscape character: Significant

Overall, the findings are unchanged from original LS.

5.4.6 Landscape Receptor: access NO CHANGE

Cumulative effects summary

Value: high
Susceptibility: moderate
Sensitivity: moderate
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: Moderate in size, short-term duration and reversible
Magnitude of effect: Minor
Likely overall effect on landscape character: Not significant

Overall, the findings are unchanged from original LS.

5.4.7 Landscape Receptor: culture CHANGE

Cumulative effects summary

Value: moderate
Susceptibility: moderate (previously low)
Sensitivity: medium (previously low)
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: moderate (previously minor), long-term and unlikely to be reversed
Magnitude of effect: medium (previously low)
Likely overall effect on landscape character: Significant (previously not significant)

Overall, the findings are unchanged from original LS.

ADDENDUM TO LANDSCAPE STATEMENT: BIKE PARK WALES, ABERCANAID

5.4.8 Landscape Receptor: sensory aspects CHANGE

Cumulative effects summary

Value: moderate
Susceptibility: medium (previously low)
Sensitivity: medium (previously low)
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: moderate (previously minor), long-term and unlikely to be reversed
Magnitude of effect: medium (previously low)
Likely overall effect on landscape character: Significant (previously not significant)

Overall, the findings are unchanged from original LS.

5.4.9 Landscape Receptor: light CHANGE

No study was carried out at night so effects have been estimated.

Cumulative effects summary

Value: high (previously medium)
Susceptibility: low (previously low)
Sensitivity: high (previously low/moderate)
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: major (previously minor), long-term and unlikely to be reversed
Magnitude of effect: high (previously low)
Likely overall effect on landscape character: Significant (previously not significant)

The reduction in the number of pods and the amendments to their locations when compared with the description of proposals in the original LS, would be likely to lead to a lower adverse effect on light. This would however not lead to a change in the likely *overall* effect.

Findings are otherwise unchanged from original LS.

5.4.10 Cumulative Landscape effects: overall character

Overall, the findings are unchanged from the original LS i.e. that the overall landscape character would be changed by the proposed and existing developments taken together. The character is judged to be substantially different in character from the pre-2012 baseline.

The size of the cumulative development, though large in relation to the pre-2012 car park, is however not of a scale that would be likely to significantly change the character of the aspect area sufficient to create a new landscape type or sub-type.

ADDENDUM TO LANDSCAPE STATEMENT: BIKE PARK WALES, ABERCANAID

5.5 Cumulative effects on visual receptors

5.5.1 Visual Receptor: users of PROWs and Access Land in and around BPW NO CHANGE

Cumulative effects summary

Value of view: medium
Susceptibility of receptor: high
Sensitivity of receptor: high
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: major in size, long-term and unlikely to be reversed
Magnitude of effect: high
Likely overall effect: significant

Overall, the findings are unchanged from original LS.

5.5.2 Visual Receptor: users of Merthyr Common NO CHANGE

Cumulative effects summary

Value of view: high
Susceptibility of receptor: high
Sensitivity of receptor: high
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: minor, long term and unlikely to be reversed
Magnitude of effect: low
Likely overall effect: not significant

Overall, the findings are unchanged from original LS.

5.5.3 Visual Receptor: users of the Trevithick Trail & other PROWs on the east valley flanks NO CHANGE

Cumulative effects summary

Value of view: high
Susceptibility of receptor: high
Sensitivity of receptor: high
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: minor, long term and unlikely to be reversed
Magnitude of effect: low
Likely overall effect: not significant

Overall, the findings are unchanged from original LS.

ADDENDUM TO LANDSCAPE STATEMENT: BIKE PARK WALES, ABERCANAID

5.5.4 Visual Receptor: residents of Pentrebach (views from dwellings) NO CHANGE

Cumulative effects summary

Value of view: high
Susceptibility of receptor: intermediate
Sensitivity of receptor: medium
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: minor, long term and unlikely to be reversed
Magnitude of effect: low
Likely overall effect: not significant

Overall, the findings are unchanged from original LS.

5.5.5 Visual Receptor: Users of public areas within Pentrebach and adjacent built-up areas NO CHANGE

Cumulative effects summary

Value of view: high
Susceptibility of receptor: low
Sensitivity of receptor: low
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: minor, long term and unlikely to be reversed
Magnitude of effect: low
Likely overall effect: not significant

Overall, the findings are unchanged from original LS.

5.5.6 Visual Receptor: residents of the farmhouse north of BPW NO CHANGE

Cumulative effects summary

Value of view: high
Susceptibility of receptor: low
Sensitivity of receptor: medium
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: minor or none, long-term and unlikely to be reversed
Magnitude of effect: low or negligible
Likely overall effect: not significant

Overall, the findings are unchanged from original LS.

ADDENDUM TO LANDSCAPE STATEMENT: BIKE PARK WALES, ABERCANAID

5.5.7 Conclusion: cumulative effects on visual receptors

Overall, the findings are unchanged from original LS i.e. that the visual effects of the combined proposals are considered to be similar to those assessed for the proposed development alone: visual effects on users of BPW and the immediate area are considered to be significant and severe adverse reducing to moderate in time. Effects on receptors beyond the site are not considered significant.

5.6 Mitigation of cumulative effects

Overall, the findings are unchanged from original LS i.e. that the mitigation identified as being necessary following assessment of cumulative effects is very similar to that identified as being necessary for the proposed development alone. Mitigation of cumulative and proposed developments will thus be combined and presented together in section 7.0 below.

6.0 CONCLUSION

6.1 Planning Policy

Overall, the findings are unchanged from the original LS.

6.2 Landscape Character

Overall, the findings are unchanged from the original LS.

6.3 The Visual Landscape

Overall, the findings are unchanged from the original LS.

7.0 MITIGATION

7.1 General

In general, the findings are unchanged from the original LS. Where they differ, further or revised mitigation is described.

7.2 Woodland

Overall, the findings and recommendations are unchanged from the original LS.

7.3 Access Drive

Overall, the findings and recommendations are unchanged from the original LS.

7.4 Footpaths and other pedestrian surfaces

Overall, the findings and recommendations are unchanged from the original LS.

7.5 Buildings

Overall, the findings and recommendations are unchanged from the original LS.

7.6 Proposed northern parking area

Overall, the findings and recommendations are unchanged from the original LS.

7.7 Landform and geology

Overall, the findings and recommendations are unchanged from the original LS.

7.8 Proposed overflow parking area

Overall, the findings and recommendations are unchanged from the original LS.

7.9 Services and drainage

Slight changes to the drainage runs to pods and the revised shower facilities have been made but mitigation to avoid potential adverse effects is similar and requires no changes to previously proposed mitigation.

Overall, the findings and recommendations are unchanged from the original LS.

ADDENDUM TO LANDSCAPE STATEMENT: BIKE PARK WALES, ABERCANAID

7.10 Pollution prevention, Waterways and waterbodies

Overall, the findings and recommendations are unchanged from the original LS.

7.11 Landscape management

A 10 year landscape management programme has been prepared and submitted with this assessment.

7.12 Light

Overall, the findings and recommendations are unchanged from the original LS.

7.13 Residents of the farm north of BPW

Overall, the findings and recommendations are unchanged from the original LS.

7.14 Residents of Pentrebach (views from dwellings), users of Merthyr Common, the Trevithick Trail and other PROWs on the eastern valley flanks

Overall, the findings and recommendations are unchanged from the original LS.

7.15 General character, cultural and sensory aspects of the landscape and views within the BPW area.

Overall, the findings and recommendations are unchanged from the original LS.

7.16 Biodiversity

Overall, the findings and recommendations are unchanged from original LS.

8.0 LIKELY RESIDUAL EFFECTS FOLLOWING MITIGATION

8.2 Landscape Receptor: landform and geology

Effects remaining after mitigation

Value: High (LANDMAP)
Susceptibility: Medium (Not assessed in LANDMAP)
Sensitivity: Medium
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: Moderate in size, long-term, permanent
Magnitude of effect: Medium
Likely overall effect on landscape character: Significant - moderate adverse

Overall, the findings are unchanged from the original LS.

8.3 Landscape Receptor: landscape pattern and history

Effects remaining after mitigation

Value: high (LANDMAP)
Susceptibility: Medium
Sensitivity: Medium
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: major in size, long-term and unlikely to be reversed
Magnitude of effect: medium
Likely overall effect on landscape character: Significant - slight adverse

Overall, the findings are unchanged from the original LS.

8.4 Landscape Receptor: woodland

Effects remaining after mitigation

Value: High
Susceptibility: high
Sensitivity: high
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: moderate in size, long-term and unlikely to be reversed
Magnitude of effect: moderate
Likely overall effect on landscape character: Significant - moderate adverse

Overall, the findings are unchanged from the original LS.

ADDENDUM TO LANDSCAPE STATEMENT: BIKE PARK WALES, ABERCANAID

8.5 Landscape Receptor: scrub/grassland

Effects remaining after mitigation

Value: low
Susceptibility: medium
Sensitivity: low
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: major in size, long-term and unlikely to be reversed
Magnitude of effect: moderate
Likely overall effect on landscape character: Not significant

Overall, the findings are unchanged from the original LS.

8.6 Landscape Receptor: culture

Effects remaining after mitigation

Value: moderate
Susceptibility: moderate
Sensitivity: medium
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: moderate, long-term and unlikely to be reversed
Magnitude of effect: medium
Likely overall effect on landscape character: Significant - moderate adverse

Overall, the findings are unchanged from the original LS.

8.7 Landscape Receptor: sensory aspects

Effects remaining after mitigation

Value: moderate
Susceptibility: medium
Sensitivity: medium
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: moderate, long-term and unlikely to be reversed
Magnitude of effect: medium
Likely overall effect on landscape character: Significant - slight adverse

Overall, the findings are unchanged from the original LS.

ADDENDUM TO LANDSCAPE STATEMENT: BIKE PARK WALES, ABERCANAID

8.8 Landscape Receptor: light

Effects remaining after mitigation

Value: high
Susceptibility: low
Sensitivity: high
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: major, long-term and unlikely to be reversed
Magnitude of effect: high
Likely overall effect on landscape character: Significant - slight

Overall, the findings are unchanged from the original LS.

8.9 Visual Receptor: users of PROWs and Access Land in and around BPW

Effects remaining after mitigation

Value of view: medium
Susceptibility of receptor: high
Sensitivity of receptor: high
Size, duration and reversibility of effect: major in size, long-term and unlikely to be reversed
Magnitude of effect: high
Likely overall effect: significant - slight

Overall, the findings are unchanged from the original LS.

Appendices

A1 Methodology, Site context, Existing site plan and Landscape constraints Plan

Appendix 1.0: Methodology Site context, Existing site plan and Landscape constraints Plan.

Methodology, and the Site Context, Existing Site and Landscape Constraints plans are all unchanged from the original LS and, for brevity, have not been reproduced in this addendum.